

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

Submission content:

The proposed AFL stadium at Macquarie Point does not, I believe, have community licence.

The stadium would have 31m high walls. The bulk and height is not suitable as this area is surrounded by the Cenotaph and heritage buildings. The heritage-listed goods shed would be demolished if the stadium goes ahead.

The existing plan for housing, an Aboriginal area, Antarctic base, and serviced outdoor areas for locals and tourists has community licence. The Hobart Precinct Plan includes community-acceptable planning guidelines for the area.

Hobart has become the latest case study in how a city's economic, social and design priorities can be sabotaged when powerful sporting organisations start calling the shots and governments fall into line.

Evidence from numerous studies shows there is no improvement in employment from building stadiums.

A cost/benefit analysis shows that the stadium will lose \$300 million over 20 years of operation i.e. \$15 million of our money each year; money badly need elsewhere.

The universal consensus among thousands of academic economists is that new stadiums have very limited net economic impact (in some cases a negative impact) and are NOT justified as worthwhile public investments.

The Government needs to return to its earlier plans for this area.

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

Submitted by:

| | DATE | NAME | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS |
|-----|---------------|------------------|---|
| 1. | 7 August 2023 | Marcia Breen | Tasmania has been hoodwinked by the AFL. |
| 2. | 7 August 2023 | Anne Pitt | We don't need this stadium 😡 |
| 3. | 7 August 2023 | Alison Hawkes | Apart from all those reasons listed the sheer hideous bulk of this monstrosity totally subsumes the glorious harbour environment that differentiates Hobart from other cities in Australia. Forget this ugliness spend the money on health and housing instead! |
| 4. | 7 August 2023 | Helen Daly | Aussie rules football is a minority sport in Tasmania. We do not have the population or funds to support this development. Housing, health care and Education all suffering from under funding. Stupid to further bankrupt the State with this ludicrous stadium development. |
| 5. | 7 August 2023 | Michael Garner | This is a financial burden that Tasmanians cannot afford. Why is this Government supporting a private enterprise with our money? The projected costs will blow out and the whole of life cost will be large. The Stadium would be an eyesore and take up with parking, a huge proportion of the site. The site needs to be multi use public open space with beautiful landscaping with enjoyable exercising areas and viewfields. |
| 6. | 7 August 2023 | Alison Lennox | I am entirely shocked by 'the look' of this monstrosity! Please, let's show strength in refusing permission for this abomination. What a terrible way to use prime waterfront land- it's not ideally used now but this plan is abuse! No, no no ! |
| 7. | 7 August 2023 | Corey Peterson | Lack of proper consideration of transport impacts is also a significant concern. This prime site would also be wasted for a stadium with other areas in Tasmania (or Greater Hobart) better suited or offering existing facilities that could be upgraded. |
| 8. | 7 August 2023 | Alison Smith | |
| 9. | 7 August 2023 | Deirdre Frappell | |
| 10. | 7 August 2023 | Caroline Riseley | The view across Victoria Dock to Hunter St, the location where the first white settlers landed and where some of Hobart's oldest buildings remain, is one of the most precious in Hobart. Behind them, you can see the Cenotaph, which was designed to be seen throughout the city so we don't forget our fallen soldiers. Plonking an ugly, tall, broad building in between the two will ruin the historic waterfront views and sight lines and will require the demolition of the heritage-listed Goods Shed. I can't believe developers are still asking us to do things like this. It's horrific. As a citizen of Hobart, I feel attacked by this. That's not to mention all the other reasons why this is a bad place for a stadium: traffic bottleneck, lack of public transport, loss of |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | prime waterfront and community space to a venue that will only be used a few times a year, to name a few! |
| 11. | 7 August 2023 | Peta Newman | |
| 12. | 7 August 2023 | Rossana Gussoni | |
| 13. | 7 August 2023 | Fern Halliday | The stadium would use money that could much better be used for homelessness, health, and education. It would be an ugly eyesore on prime land. |
| 14. | 7 August 2023 | Toni Oost | I do not agree with this government's decision to build a new stadium. The 2 stadiums in Tasmania at the moment could both be upgraded to accommodate more people. No other state has been made to pay for a stadium so that they could have a team in the AFL. Tasmania has been a recruitment state for the AFL for years and received no benefit as these players were transferred to the mainland to the cost of the this state's football competitions. Apart from football this state needs more help in more areas spread across the whole population. Health, homelessness, higher wages for all health workers and aged care. |
| 15. | 7 August 2023 | Emily Larsen | |
| 16. | 7 August 2023 | Meredith Reardon | I live interstate and feel that the stadium is absolutely out of proportion to what is needed in Tasmania. I'm concerned about intervention from funding bodies that try to influence decision-makers. I believe the Bellerive stadium would be a suitable location with additional funds for upgrades where needed. The proposal for the stadium is a grotesque blot on the landscape of beautiful Hobart. |
| 17. | 7 August 2023 | Brian Sundborn | We already have 2 stadiums to meet our population requirements + the proposed stadium would dominate the beautiful wharf / Domain landscape and buildings. The debt for tax payers is not worth the risk for it to make all the profits they are telling us. Not happy Jeremy. |
| 18. | 7 August 2023 | ChristineWyszkowski | |
| 19. | 7 August 2023 | Christine Needham | Macquarie Point should not be used as a site to build a covered stadium or indeed. any sports facility. This unique site should be developed into a place for the community to use. A place to learn, play , eat, drink, be entertained and entertain. If Sydney can build its iconic Opera house, Tasmania can mirror their success and turn Macquarie Point into a special unique area with buildings that are appropriate in size and use. A STADIUM AT MACQUARIE POINT HAS NO SOCIAL LICENCE AND SHOULD BE STOPPED IMMEDIATELY. |
| 20. | 7 August 2023 | Donald Knowler | |
| 21. | 7 August 2023 | Jill Wright | |
| 22. | 7 August 2023 | Edward Granville | The needs of the Tasmanian community are many, a football stadium isn't one of them. It might be wanted but that's not the same. The claims that multiple overseas artists and events will flock to this stadium are fallacious in the extreme. Bass Strait is the main reason we don't attract |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|------------------|---|
| | | | big acts, not the lack of venue. We already have AFL footy teams using our existing venues, they will become white elephants if this proposal goes ahead. We will be condemning our children and grandchildren to a debt which will rise year on year, meanwhile health, education, public transport and utility needs will go unmet. No stadium, not now, definitely not there. |
| 23. | 7 August 2023 | Carmel Denholm | |
| 24. | 7 August 2023 | Keitha Granville | A massive blot on the landscape in an area of great significance, the gateway to our beautiful city and harbour. This area does NOT need a giant building towering over its surroundings. We do not need a stadium of this magnitude at all, we don't have the population to support it. The cost will be borne by Tasmanians every year, into the far distant future. The "gift" from the Federal Government is actually GST revenue in advance - it will be taken back for the next 5 years meaning other areas will have to miss out. Health, education, transport, public utilities are already missing out. Too big, too expensive, unnecessary. |
| 25. | 7 August 2023 | Carol Gilbert | The stadium is close to the water. Noise level echoes across water and will be significantly loud and disturbing for all who live along the river and surrounding areas . We all know how the effects of fireworks and harbour celebrations affect Hobart and Eastern shore residents. Let's not destroy our liveable city. |
| 26. | 7 August 2023 | William Sargent | |
| 27. | 7 August 2023 | Mary Joy Gleeson | Less is More! The value of the Derwent River and the coastline of Hobart is immense in terms of pristine environment, city views of the River and vice versa, and the general ambiance/general sense of space on the Hobart wharves. This value is probably better understood by tourists!! Does Hobart really need another AFL arena? If so, then it should be relocated from the proposed site with access to good public transport. |
| 28. | 7 August 2023 | Dr Denise Brown | The Rockliff government is a victim of ██████████ and its expectations are most likely never to be realised. There are very good alternative options available to provide venues for AFL games to be played at Bellerive and UTAS stadium and these could be upgraded sufficiently at much lower cost. The AFL has treated Tasmanians very, very badly with an unconscionable contract, knowing that we are the poorest state in the Commonwealth of Australia. Many of us are opposed to the stadium and hoping that we will eventually get behind it is just wishful thinking. I support development at Macquarie Point that is community focused and that can be enjoyed every day, not just on football days. Finally I think the proposed stadiumw will cripple any future development that us crucial to mitigating climate catastrophe in the future that is far more important. The necessary funds will be tied up in ridiculous payments to the AFL and paying off a huge debt . Do not go down this path. It is madness. |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|------------------|---|
| 29. | 7 August 2023 | Geoffrey Adamski | |
| 30. | 7 August 2023 | Kyia Clayyon | |
| 31. | 7 August 2023 | Alison Watson | |
| 32. | 7 August 2023 | John Daniels | |
| 33. | 7 August 2023 | Janet Butler | Macquarie Point is a unique almost waterfront area with huge potential as public open space for the people. Its value should not be measured in dollars but in enabling free access , with views to mountain and sea, for all. An enclosed stadium would be an eyesore, accessible only to those with money, and would destroy the heritage nature of a beautiful low rise city. In addition, it's position has been dictated by the AFL, a body representing one sport only, and our taxpayer dollars will be propping up the AFL who are only interested in using Tasmanian taxpayers to further their own aims. This stadium must not be built in this place at any cost! |
| 34. | 7 August 2023 | Alison Palmer | We the community need the opportunity to have input into both the decision to build any large building such as this and also where it is located. I'm also frustrated about the way that the whole deal was struck in what seemed like great secrecy . Buildings should also adhere to the height recommendations in the Woolley report. Wherever the stadium ends up (if it is built at all) the design must complement the surroundings and the Hobart landscape in general |
| 35. | 7 August 2023 | Gil Pixley | |
| 36. | 7 August 2023 | Ellen Green | |
| 37. | 7 August 2023 | Petra Johnson | |
| 38. | 7 August 2023 | Jennifer Findlay | A ridiculous concept fuelled by the AFL & our ██████████. Have an AFL team by all means, but a stadium is not necessary. |
| 39. | 7 August 2023 | Mark Pooley | Tassie United Perhaps we should extend a little sympathy to Premier Rockliff. After all, who among us has never painted themselves into a corner - whether physical or metaphoric? Most of us can easily wriggle out of those fleeting situations, but poor Jeremy has promised Victoria's AFL - and his Tasmanian constituents - a Uniting Edifice to football but has unwittingly created a Great Wall of division. So far, neither the political nor the economic cases have been satisfactorily prosecuted. The opacity and subterfuge of the political process leaves much to be desired, and the economic case has completely ignored the burden such a large civil spend will place upon future generations of Tasmanians. If these two issues were to be subjected to the same degree of forensic analysis as used in the creation of the confronting Bence & Mulcahy rendering, I doubt we'd be arguing quite so vehemently about an architectural drawing. But that might not suit the Premier as he seems to be doing a lot of whistleblowing to denigrate others while dissembling and waffling his way through PAC |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | <p>interrogations. Premier Jeremy Rockliff has labelled the image a “scare tactic” and has revealed the “real” stadium outline from the waterfront. “Don’t be fooled by the blockers, as dodgy as some of their efforts may be,” Mr Rockliff posted to his social media.” There are many issues surrounding the stadium debacle that can be described as ‘dodgy’, but the Bence & Mulcahy rendering of the visual impact of the structure on Hobart’s waterfront heritage is not one of them. To be fair, the Premier is not alone in his inability to interpret architectural drawings and renderings. Throughout my years of architectural drafting I’ve often had to walk clients through the design process and decode plans. Translating two dimensions into a three- dimensional image requires a degree of spatial intelligence we don’t all possess. If that’s the case for Mr Rockliff, it’s beholden upon him to actually read the explanation that accompanied the rendering which outlined quite clearly how the image was created and the criteria upon which it was based. Instead, he insisted his architects publish his own interpretation of how his “not designed yet” structure would look, taken from a completely different vantage point and eye-level. We designers know the reason he’d not wanted this most commonly viewed aspect of the shoehorned monument to be revealed, and it’s interesting to note that his architects resisted any accreditation for that particular overlay. Yes, Premier, paddling around in a kayak in Constitution Dock would obscure your view of the stadium behind several rows of pontoons and buildings but that’s NOT the view pedestrians on Franklin Wharf and associated docks and piers will have. I have done my own survey, walking around the area, taking photographs, checking height data, calculating sight lines and referring to maps and satellite imagery. From this research I can say that the Premier’s alternative image misses the mark in a number of ways. Any photograph from the south can take the 33mtr. Gasworks chimney to the west of Davey Street as a reference for the southern façade of the proposed stadium complex on Evans Street. The stadium height is 40mtrs. so any rendering needs to show the (unsupported) ‘roof’ at least 7mtrs. higher than this datum (see accompanying height survey). The Premier also questioned the roof massing in the Bence Mulcahy rendering. The question we need to ask is, if not this truss-style roof support, what will be used . . . skyhooks? Mr Mulcahy’s accompanying text provided sufficient explanation for why they added the steel superstructure to the roof. There was also adequate explanation for why the building needed to front Evans Street simply to fit on the site. Such a frontage places it very close to the Sullivan’s Cove Apartments and will have severe implications for over-shadowing those apartments and the popular Morris-Nunn-designed IXL courtyard in the middle of the complex, both of which currently rely on passive solar input for warmth in Winter. Rather than being designed to shock which some have alleged, this drawing has been carefully constructed, taking into account sight lines from</p> |
|--|--|--|--|

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | <p>nearby buildings and the dimensions supplied by the government. If the Bence & Mulcahy rendering of the stadium is shocking, it's because the stadium itself is shocking: a shocking waste of money; a shocking waste of space; a shocking loss of opportunity; a shocking trampling of heritage values, and a careless subjugation of Hobart's maritime character. No, Hobart is not Sydney or Melbourne, and that difference is paramount in most residents' minds. Tasmanians and newcomers have settled here - and visitors are attracted here - mainly due to our town being the very antithesis of a mainland metropolis, and this uniqueness is most evident within the precious hand-hewn vestiges of the Salamanca and Hunter Street precincts. Hobart can, and will grow, but the majority of Tasmanians want our beautiful, harboured settlement to showcase the remnants of its colonial charm and, like us, celebrate the importance of a human-scale built environment. Mark Pooley, Mk8 design+survey Battery Point, Hobart</p> |
| 40. | 7 August 2023 | Sarajayne Lada | <p>New Zealand's own Christchurch Stadium, blew out to such proportions way beyond expected costs, holding up the project. Covid-19 is not gone, Ukraine is still under fire and economies are crashing. if building is held up due to any one of these instances, WE the tax payers will be paying exorbitant fines for missing key dates. We also loose valuable sports fields for a training facility at Cornelian Bay. That is the communities property and is an outlet for kids to be active. Also would a facility be allowed to build there with planning restrictions in regards to high tide inundation and rising sea levels? Back to the main stadium. The size of the proposed building dwarfs the historic wharf area with its working port. In fact the stadium is taller and domineering of the skyline. The aesthetics of our city will be ruined by this bunker style buildings, and will cause transport issues around the venue. For years we have been told five demolished houses on the southern outlet will sort our traffic, for years promised ferries, and yet we still can gridlock the whole city with one broken down car. How do you solve the traffic congestion and where will the game attendees park their cars? Another major issue relates to light. The lights used at the racing venue near Elwick are blinding as a drivers coming past at night. Bellerive lights cause a aerial glow afar. If you look at the data in regards to IDark Skies, the local wildlife of the Queens Domain and humans living close by will be affected. Will the lights cause issues for TasPorts? As for what has been wiped away with one signature by the Premier is the peoples place. A place to celebrate Antarctica and the palawa, who having not been consulted, have moved out in anger. Macquarie Point is a place to bring people together, not alienate and destroy what makes Hobart. Sensible design that works with our heritage values. Please take this submission seriously, we cannot afford such a large financial and cultural mistake such as this proposed stadium.</p> |
| 41. | 8 August 2023 | Mike Meerding | |
| 42. | 8 August 2023 | Melinda Bergfield | |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|-------------------|--|
| 43. | 8 August 2023 | Jill Winter | |
| 44. | 8 August 2023 | Debra Fast | This is inappropriate and out of character for Hobart. It will destroy the beauty and impact tourism negatively. This cannot happen to our beautiful home. |
| 45. | 8 August 2023 | Sally Curry | Macquarie Point is part of the waterfront precious to the people. I feel [REDACTED] by the demands of the AFL. I have attended workshops and meetings in the Goods Shed and I want it to stay there. I support the idea of a park, with small businesses offering food and seating. A place where we can enjoy open green space and the waterfront; an extension of the Botanic Gardens would be desirable. |
| 46. | 8 August 2023 | David Gardiner | Wrong time, wrong place. |
| 47. | 8 August 2023 | Elizabeth Shannon | This government has been captured by special interests and is no longer acting in the best interests of Tasmanians. The government is hiding the true cost - it is clear that the project will go over the published budget and that it will suck money out of every other area of public activity. It is a vanity project for out-sized boys' egos. Just madness. |
| 48. | 8 August 2023 | David Loveridge | |
| 49. | 8 August 2023 | Bruce White | |
| 50. | 8 August 2023 | Helen Crosby | Stadium is not needed given the existing facilities at Blundstone Arena & the Launceston Oval. |
| 51. | 8 August 2023 | Jill D'Arcy | The stadium situation is a disgrace, we've been [REDACTED] by the AFL because of weak, [REDACTED] politicians. A full enquiry should be undertaken as to how & who chose Macpoint & why Tas is being forced to build a new stadium for AFL when we already have venues that are completely adequate for AFL & can be improved if necessary. |
| 52. | 8 August 2023 | Angela Barnard | Build it somewhere else, somewhere that doesn't ruin the waterfront of Hobart - out near the airport or somewhere. Once you lose a beautiful piece of land you can't get it back and the reason people love our city is because it's not ruined by large buildings and is really pretty. Use mac point for better reasons and put the stadium somewhere that won't encroach on the views of the site, if it had to be built at all. And have you listened to the concerns about the traffic to and from the stadium at mac point? Cos they're actual valid concerns... |
| 53. | 8 August 2023 | Sally Wolfhagen | |
| 54. | 8 August 2023 | Jane Harbard | I am disgusted we are allowing the AFL to dictate how Tasmanians should use prime waterfront land that should be set aside for all The costs will never be recouped and the dead space in the middle of he city will be a blight on the landscape |
| 55. | 8 August 2023 | Jeff Suffolk | |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|---------------------|---|
| 56. | 8 August 2023 | Sue Manning | It is utterly ridiculous to build such a carbuncle in beautiful heritage Hobart. How many stadiums does Tasmania need? |
| 57. | 8 August 2023 | Jane Paterson | Totally agree . Not needed or wanted. |
| 58. | 8 August 2023 | Catherine Lancaster | Ugly view from water |
| 59. | 8 August 2023 | Beverley Richardson | The existing Blundstone Arena and the stadium in Launceston would be good venues and serve both the north and south. |
| 60. | 8 August 2023 | Naomi Howard | Sporting events need to have space around them and they need to take place beyond our beautiful city of Hobart. Perhaps in areas such as Kingston and Brighton. Building an enormous stadium in the center of Hobart is completely out of wack with the nature of our city. We the people have already agreed about a plan for this area. I do not wish to have a stadium built there. ██████████ Tasmania |
| 61. | 8 August 2023 | Tricia Sharples | My greatest concern(s) about this project is that the unsightliness of the structure is unworthy of the surrounding environment. Use the area for social housing and enterprises or a museum , if it has to be done, but a wasteful large stadium that will take years to pay off, if ever, is immoral and wrong. This government may want to leave a legacy, but the disgust of the community shouldn't be it. A Tasmanian team would be an appropriate legacy, but not the wilful spending of Tasmanian Tax payers money on a white elephant, as described above. |
| 62. | 8 August 2023 | Peter Aston | |
| 63. | 8 August 2023 | James Walker | |
| 64. | 8 August 2023 | Helen Cordell | Basically, the state government doesn't have the funds, as with associated infrastructure etc., it would be closer to \$2b at least. To go into massive debt for something of this nature is wrong on so many levels. |
| 65. | 8 August 2023 | Dugald McLaren | Wrong priority, wrong site, renovate the existing stadium that's never filled to capacity |
| 66. | 8 August 2023 | Lee Harper | Yes to a good stadium, with a proper car park and good public transport access. Not necessary to be roofed - the MCG is an open stadium, and is considered adequate. But not at Mac Point. Having the conditions of location and construction stipulated by the AFL is undemocratic and utterly inappropriate by any measure of good governance and any judgement of the public good. |
| 67. | 8 August 2023 | Kim Dudson | No business case or social licence. I strongly am against this stadium. Health, housing and education, environment suffering and being cut back. |
| 68. | 8 August 2023 | Nick Attfield | The car parking required would turn this important area into just another asphalt desert . |
| 69. | 8 August 2023 | Jane Boot | |
| 70. | 8 August 2023 | Rosemary Wade | Wrong place, wrong time, not needed in Hobart |
| 71. | 8 August 2023 | Debra Dragonheart | |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|-------------------|---|
| 72. | 8 August 2023 | Hermie Cornelisse | I live across the road from a football field, North Hobart Oval, though it is not the full standard size, it is used for Tas games throughout the year - the grand stands are not always full, it's not the 50's 60's and 70's where the place was brimming with fans, at best the audience grandstands are full at final time. Bellerive Oval: Use what we already have, develop the Bellerive Oval to AFL standard if that is needed. If parking is an issue, (or if it is not), have free buses from the city and outlying towns to keep cars away from Bellerive. Use the land which has already been dedicated to Aussie football. Our national sport AFL should be showing an example that we can repurpose what we have, thinking environmentally, thinking we already have enough of everything. - and not adding more financial pressure on a Tasmanian community groups and tax payers generally. The Bellerive Oval land has been purposed for various sports, including football, why not use it more. Tasmanians may love football, but we can show our independence and determination to the AFL, that we can a lead the way by insisting Bellerive Oval is used for AFL and we will show our respects to first nations, community group planning, environmental - land usage, and financial practical planning will come first. Please let us be sensible! |
| 73. | 8 August 2023 | Laura Cini | Our waterfront in Hobart is beautiful as it is. The size of the proposed stadium when placed in this context overwhelms the current buildings there and does not suit the style of the area. It just looks monstrous. Please don't do this to our waterfront. I have no objection to a Tassie football team, but the stadium really concerns me. The AFL's terms that we build a stadium if we want a football team are also very concerning. It's unbelievable that they are dictating the terms to our State Government. I also believe that financially, the stadium won't benefit Tasmania in the long-term. |
| 74. | 8 August 2023 | Barry Neilsen | |
| 75. | 8 August 2023 | C Ackland | |
| 76. | 8 August 2023 | John Carter | Just because Mac point is proving to be difficult to deal with doesn't mean plonking the stadium here is the right decision. How about using the University playing fields as an alternative. This site is close to the centre of town. |
| 77. | 8 August 2023 | Andrew Metzeler | I say NO STADIUM! What a disgrace wasting \$\$\$\$\$\$. Save this amount of money for our health system and housing |
| 78. | 8 August 2023 | Janet Taylor | The plan set out by Richard Flanagan and several other informed Tasmanian lawyers, architects and city planners is an excellent design but that one does not include a stadium. I don't believe any of the mainland teams have had to design, build, pay for and accept any debt that comes from the building of a new stadium, so there is no need for Tasmania to do so. I am supportive |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|------------------|---|
| | | | of a Tasmanian team and if need be, adjustments to the two stadiums we already have in Tasmania to meet the supposed demand. |
| 79. | 8 August 2023 | Alexandra Farrow | |
| 80. | 8 August 2023 | Colin Trevena | |
| 81. | 8 August 2023 | Laurel Trevena | |
| 82. | 8 August 2023 | Bibiana | |
| 83. | 8 August 2023 | Pam Schindler | I love Hobart as a human-scale city which is sensitive to its heritage architecture and natural environment. The precious wharf area of Hobart should, as planned, be used for public space, an indigenous presence, and housing, not be overwhelmed by an outsized stadium. In addition, as a taxpayer, I oppose taking on debt to build this edifice, which would be a major mistake in terms of Hobart's development as a city. |
| 84. | 8 August 2023 | Gaye Barlow | The City is not the place for such infrastructure. Why not boost the economy of the area around the airport and have buses running people into the city after games for their accommodation. I'm sure hotels would soon spring up around there too. Squishing a stadium into Macquarie Point will just make us a laughing stock as nothing else will fit and it will look dreadful, ruining our historic precinct view. Plus its such a waste of monies we, as a state do not have. Regards, Gaye |
| 85. | 8 August 2023 | Phil Hniat | |
| 86. | 8 August 2023 | Richard Webb | This thing is so out of proportion to its surrounding environment it's the ultimate in bad taste. The visual impact on the Hobart foreshore from virtually every angle and sight line will be catastrophic. With all the effort made by state tourism to develop a solid brand for Tasmania, this monstrosity will make Hobart, the first stop for visitors expecting art, craft, wilderness and wildlife, a laughing stock. Photographers trying to capture the charm of the waterfront will struggle, no matter where they stand. Just what Tasmania needs. A stadium with a little town attached. And all this for a game of football. Disgraceful. No doubt someone's making money? |
| 87. | 8 August 2023 | Mildred Jex | There is no logical reason to build another stadium in Tasmania when we are desperate for a good hospital system and homes for all people. We have two stadiums now ,that is enough. Our politicians should forget their egos and do what the people want. |
| 88. | 8 August 2023 | Teresa Barber | I am not against an AFL Tasmania team just not that we have to have a stadium to go with it. That can be sorted out later depending how the team goes and looking into other arears to build it. |
| 89. | 8 August 2023 | Sue Harradence | |
| 90. | 8 August 2023 | Peter Hannon | Way too dominant to our heritage area. Too big a project on too small a footprint |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|------------------|--|
| 91. | 8 August 2023 | Joanna Orr | You are in power to represent the people, not to drive your own agenda. The majority of Tasmanians DO NOT WANT this stadium. No more platitudes about transparency. Simply listen, disclose and enjoy the ongoing support of those who elected you. Continue on this path and risk a serious loss and a shocking legacy. LISTEN TO THE PUBLIC. |
| 92. | 8 August 2023 | Edward Milne | Health and Education are a better way of spending taxpayers money instead of wasting it on something we already have. |
| 93. | 8 August 2023 | Joan von Bibra | I agree with the above representation that the proposed stadium is an inappropriate development for Macquarie Point which should be developed in a more imaginative way for all the people of Hobart to be able to enjoy the superb location, as had been suggested earlier. The present Tasmanian Government, when under the leadership of Mr Guttwein and now ifinding itself a minority government, was pressured by the AFL to commit to this unnecessary stadium by persuding the former Premier it was a political "crowd-pleasing" project. Some people do want a Tasmanian AFL team, but not at the exorbitant cost of a white elephant stadium. The State cannot afford such a project: it is already reassessing the cost of the proposed second electricity connector to the mainland as the State budget blows out. The proposed stadium would not be filled to capacity very often...AFL matches here are not attended as much in the past. There are not the young Tasmanian AFL players to form a team... Soccer is taking over. Nor would the venue attract mass entertainers who can get bigger crowds on the mainland. Enough time and money has been wasted. The present government should rethink it all |
| 94. | 8 August 2023 | Peter Kibbey | |
| 95. | 8 August 2023 | Robin Goodram | Hobart has a unique charm , attractive to both residents and tourists. This is in large part due to it's location - bordered by the beautiful Derwent and stunning backdrop of Mount Wellington and the perspectives these offer - but in addition the charm of its diminutive scale achieved by the height restrictions which preserve the appeal of the early architecture. The proposed stadium is a totally discordant element. |
| 96. | 8 August 2023 | Bill Godfrey | |
| 97. | 8 August 2023 | Natalie McNamara | |
| 98. | 8 August 2023 | Eric Pinkard | The area in which the stadium is proposed to be built is simply not big enough for the stadium and its other infrastructure requirements. These include traffic, parking, accessible access, pedestrian access, ferry connections and all the other things which were promised by Tasmania's Government as detailed above. |
| 99. | 8 August 2023 | Veronica Mather | Just returned from Perth W A, and lunch in their stadium which was sitting empty. I am now against the stadium at the proposed site in Hobart. It is a huge bulk of a thing which would |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|---------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | completely overshadow, the historical area of Hobart especially the cenotaph which is a special area of remembrance on the Domain. This huge Monolith will do nothing to enhance Hobart to visitors. I have also heard that some of the AFL teams will not come as the proposed stadium will not hold the number of supporters they think may come on their rostered game in Hobart. I notice that Melbourne & North Melbourne played at the Blundstone arena last week end. A Tassie team can do the same. A Team YES but for our small population a stadium of the suggested size NO. we cannot afford it and it is pie in the sky to think 20,000 supporters will attend the 7-10 games that will played here each season. The cost will far exceed what has been quoted to Hobartians. For Conferences we have Derwent Entertainment centre, and other venues, most conferences come once every six years. It will become a huge white elephant on our beautiful domain |
| 100. | 8 August 2023 | Frances and Leo Cini | Listen to the voices of the majority of locals and not those of the AFL bosses in Melbourne. The ugly site of such a stadium wont be damaging the backdrop of THEIR heritage areas!! |
| 101. | 8 August 2023 | Kirsten O'Halloran | This is not a suitable site for a stadium. The government must return to earlier plans. There are also many questions to be asked of the Macquarie Point Development Corporation: Why have they taken so long to do nothing? How can they justify their continued big salaries with nothing to show and apparently another plan being implemented? Was there a deliberate delay to leave the space clear for a stadium? Tasmania is being held to ransom by the AFL with their demands. Tasmania might benefit from a stadium but it cannot be considered a priority next to the current state of Education, Health and Housing. |
| 102. | 8 August 2023 | Jeff Camp | As a government elected to represent all Tasmanians, this proposal is simply not in the interests of all Tasmanians. The terms are dictated to this governemtn by a powerful AFL football Association who have little regard for the needs of Tasmanians not connected with the sport. Not withstanding all the powerful arguments stated above, the proposal stadium will offer little beyond transferring existing patronage from the existing infrastructure to another with little net gain, if any. Economic development must be done to benefit all tasmanians, There are a myriad of ways to achieve this with the proposed budget. How about this governemt standing up for Tasmania and exploring other options with the AFL top utilise our exisitng infrastructure, perhaps enlarging them and spend the money in a more sustainable way |
| 103. | 8 August 2023 | Susie Riddoch | A stadium at Maquarie Point would completely ruin the beautiful old harbour and how silly to site it here where moving people in and out would cause bottlenecks and gridlocked traffic. Building a stadium here is madness. |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|---------------|-------------------|---|
| 104. | 8 August 2023 | Peter Lutz | To whom it may concern, I like to focus my disapproval on the visual effect it has on the historic wharf site. The Cenotaph would be effected overlooking a 31m tall stadium as well as the historic Hobart wharf, where Hobart was founded in 1804. It is simply unacceptable to place a tall and ugly, expensive and unwanted stadium behind such a historic site. As a tourism operator I survey tourists and visitors all the time attracted by our history, buildings and sites. Tasmania would lose part of it's flair and attractiveness , the Aboriginal community would also loose the heritage shed. For the above reasons, set aside the dismal economic outcome for all tax payers, the stadium proposal should be burried forever !! |
| 105. | 8 August 2023 | Catherine Errey | Macquarie Point is a vantage point on the Derwent Estuary. It is the perfect location for a public park and a very inappropriate location for a huge INWARD-looking structure that BLOCKS the view. It would be much better to locate it adjacent to the Derwent Entertainment Centre - where there also is plenty of parking space. |
| 106. | 8 August 2023 | Stuart Godfrey | The picture just above the earlier text looks to me as if the Stadium would utterly change the picture of Hobart for the worst. I'd hate Hobart's 'feel' to centre around football: other activities are much more interesting. Regards, Stuart Godfrey. |
| 107. | 8 August 2023 | Margaret Kibbey | |
| 108. | 8 August 2023 | Ailsa McLaren | |
| 109. | 8 August 2023 | Bronwyn Clarke | This proposed stadium would be a huge mistake for Hobart. It would dwarf and dominate the waterfront precinct. It is not in keeping with the open green spaces and multi purpose areas. It would become a white elephant and we would be paying for it for generations to come. The money is far better to be spent elsewhere on public housing, education and in the health sectors. The present government should examine their conscience |
| 110. | 9 August 2023 | Dr Graeme Riddoch | This grotesque structure would be a prime example of architectural vandalism. It is neither wanted nor needed by the majority of Tasmanians. |
| 111. | 9 August 2023 | Kathy Rudolf | |
| 112. | 9 August 2023 | Lyn Smith | A small State like Tasmania cannot carry the huge debt that this stadium would entail. Also, as the planet is warming much faster than predicted, accelerating Polar ice melts mean sea level rises will be greater than predicted and building massive infrastructures on such low lying land will not end well. Due diligence is required for costings and risk of water inundation in the future. |
| 113. | 9 August 2023 | Barbara Wienecke | It is quite extraordinary that the plan for a new stadium in a prime city location is seriously considered. It is the worst possible idea destroying the city's characteristic charm and live style. Hobart belongs to Tasmanians, not the AFL! Worst of all it is entirely unnecessary. Spend the money to upgrade the Bellerive oval and keep sports activities out of the city! Get back to the |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|---------------|------------------|---|
| | | | original precinct plan that offered so much more to the community than an ugly stadium ever can! Yours sincerely, Barbara |
| 114. | 9 August 2023 | Brian Corr | This money should be spent on improving sports facilities to keep people, young and old, active - basketball nets, soccer walls, synthetic lawn bowls rinks, etc. Now, more than ever, people are aware of the importance of being active, and governments should spent big to facilitate an active healthy society. Also, more money is badly needed in housing, health, and education. |
| 115. | 9 August 2023 | Wendy Cunningham | Being a prominent point on Hobart's water line is just the WRONG place for a monolith stadium . If it is that important build it elsewhere. Somewhere like the Elwick , DEC area this would be more central to the general population. It would encourage more accommodation to be built in the area . This would surely lead to better outcomes re employment and economic growth . The city of Hobart is definitely the WRONG place . |
| 116. | 9 August 2023 | Gillian | what is wrong with using Blundstone arena? We desperately need more housing and the Health system needs more as it is overworked. this arena is not needed and will be an eyesore on our beautiful waterfront. Only about 7000 people attended the match on Saturday, do they really think people will come and fill this new arena?I agree with having an AFL team but not this new hideous building. why not put it out to a public vote? |
| 117. | 9 August 2023 | Anne Godfrey | The Hobart waterfront is more or less unspoiled at the moment. There is an atmosphere there, especially in the evening, a feeling that you are in a world that has almost disappeared. This is very rare in Australia. Surely we can protect this tiny bit of history and beauty for future generations. The stadium would overpower the waterfront area and destroy something much more valuable than revenue from a stadium. I believe that we'd never forgive ourselves if this were allowed to happen. On a more down-to-earth level. Where will the proposed stadium's thousands of spectators park? Will there be an expensive upgrade to public transport to get everyone to and from the venue itself? I hope planners will think of the whole community when planning for this site, something that we can all support wholeheartedly. |
| 118. | 9 August 2023 | Cheryle Thomson | Hobart's uniqueness and natural beauty should be embraced and promoted. Any development should be complementary to the surrounding heritage areas and respectful of Tasmanian Aboriginal land and culture. Development in this area needs to meet the best interests of all community members, be economically sustainable and aesthetically compatible to surrounding areas and use. |
| 119. | 9 August 2023 | Douglas Lumb | A complete waste of money. Cost blow-outs funded by Tasmanian taxes and debt are a certainty for future generations to pay back. There are so many better uses for this money: 1) Give it back to me! 2) Public Housing 3) Health 4) Schools 5) Transport 6)Climate change |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|---------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | impact mitigation. The wrong location. Traffic and parking will be a nightmare. A complete eyesore in our beautiful low-rise city with mountain views. Climate impact negative. Tens of thousands of people generating CO2 to travel (fly or drive) to watch a bunch of men chase a ball around the grass! Are the proponents of this completely tone-deaf given the future we're heading for? |
| 120. | 9 August 2023 | Patricia Knight | |
| 121. | 9 August 2023 | Joyce Batchelor | See this project as an awful eyesore on our waterfront, an appalling waste of money that could be better spent elsewhere. Leave any remaining waterfront natural and low key. Open to all citizens, regardless of their economic circumstances. |
| 122. | 9 August 2023 | John Hussey | Tasmania simply can't afford it. not even close. It is exactly where a tunnel to the eastern shore will need to go, once the Tasman bridge becomes unsafe, which will happen soon. I hate football. It is unnecessary violent and dangerous. An afl team would squeeze out local talent, leading to reduced opportunities for up and coming local players. the last of Australia's liberal [REDACTED] have to go. |
| 123. | 9 August 2023 | Jim Collier | In addition to the above I believe it is absolutely ludicrous that during these straitened financial times that Tasmania is even considering such a preposterous proposal. especially when there is already a sufficiently viable stadium in Launceston. There are higher priorities in health, education and homelessness which should be given higher priority for funding allocation!! |
| 124. | 9 August 2023 | Lyn Reeves | Yes to a team, no to a stadium. No, No No to a stadium in this location. If it must be built, build it somewhere else but not on this iconic piece of land that was previously marked for much more important social and cultural needs. The plan for the stadium is a monstrous eyesore and will seriously impact for the worse this important part of our city. |
| 125. | 9 August 2023 | John Andrew Brodribb | The projected cost of \$750 million is unlikely to be achieved as evidenced by the recent near doubling of the cost of the Marinus Link. The Premier and his government have been '[REDACTED] into this project" by an [REDACTED] AFL leadership that has been progressively raising the "entry price" for a Tasmanian 19th team in a national competition, a complication the AFL would prefer to avoid. |
| 126. | 9 August 2023 | Sally | |
| 127. | 9 August 2023 | Dean Shegog | |
| 128. | 9 August 2023 | Roger Bodley | In addition, the project will tie up many tradesmen and builders for a significant amount of time that will compromise the targets for other building work in the rest of Tasmania. |
| 129. | 9 August 2023 | Jane Patten | |
| 130. | 9 August 2023 | Paula Hanks | The stadium as proposed will be an eyesore to the city of Hobart. All the wonderful Colonial History will be denigrated. The problems of parking, lack of public transport, roads not capable of handling |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|------------------|--|
| | | | increased traffic pressures, are all significant problems, created by a stadium in the wrong site. Upgrade the stadiums we have. The AFL is only in this for the money that a large stadium would generate. I believe this stadium to be a dud that the taxpayers of Tasmania will be stuck with. Please think to the future this stadium will choke Hobart. Paula Hanks |
| 131. | 9 August 2023 | Jan Spaulding | Height and Bulk are 2 factors. Another thing is COST. Tasmania cannot afford this stadium. Develop something that all the population will use |
| 132. | 9 August 2023 | Alena Gough | |
| 133. | 9 August 2023 | Daniel Panek | Pushing ahead with the stadium is fully blind to the current housing, health, education, climate and biodiversity crises we as a society are facing. Pushing ahead with the old build infrastructure - grow economy agenda is simply pushing us ever further to the brink of the point of no-return from which our planet will be cooked and multiple cascading tipping points reached will make Hobart unliveable due to rising sea levels, fires and the general breakdown of civilised society. Right now we desperately need to find new and creative ideas to solve our current predicament - we must investment in reducing the inequality in our society, NOT in funding the construction of an eyesore monolith on prime public waterfront land! |
| 134. | 10 August 2023 | Heather Webster | This eyesore will change our beautiful waterfront for ever |
| 135. | 10 August 2023 | James Anderson | I am highly skeptical that the number of events and the projected patronage would ever materialize. - I believe the cost:benefit studies that show the stadium would never show a profit. - Such a stadium would look and feel out of place; that is, too big, an unattractive block and not in keeping with the surroundings. - An unreasonable conversion of public open space which would be exacerbated if more is given over to associated car parks. - Traffic is already heavy near the site; getting too and from the stadium would only make it worse. |
| 136. | 10 August 2023 | Robyn Everist | |
| 137. | 10 August 2023 | Phil Everist | |
| 138. | 10 August 2023 | Krista Rosewarne | I seriously feel this project goes against the wishes of the majority of Tasmania people. It does not align with the HCC's vision which was created by a broad spectrum of the community. It conflicts with the heritage values of the precinct, plus block views and would create issues with shadowing. Plus the ginormous cost economically to the community of Tasmania. It must not go ahead. As simple as that. |
| 139. | 10 August 2023 | Robert Pearce | The proposed site is prime real estate and is wasted on an inwardly focusing arena. The land should be free to use by all members of the community. They should not be made to pay 100s of dollars to walk and play on land which should be set-up for their use. I am not convinced that transport arrangements have been properly considered to cater for movement of 23,000 people, The proposed design ignores the stunning 360 degree scenery that is a feature of this |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|----------------|---------------|--|
| | | | site, instead it spoils the view of the surrounding sites. If a stadium is needed, and I seriously doubt it is, we should not be contemplating locating it at Macquarie Point. |
| 140. | 10 August 2023 | Nigel Legge | The Macquarie Point site is too close to the city for a noisy stadium. Between 2008 and 2011 the Hobart City Council carried out acoustic investigation of how noise travels across the Hobart metropolitan area. Noise levels were recorded at the 'Soundscape Festival', a rock music concert at the Regatta ground on the Domain, together with noise levels recorded at Empress Towers (Princes Park), more than 1500m metres from the Regatta Ground at the same time. The Princes Park noise levels were found to be sufficiently loud as to be regarded as highly annoying under World Health Organization standards and would have caused awakening reactions in sleeping night shift workers requiring restorative sleep during the day time. These standards were accepted by the State Government in their Environmental Protection Policy (Noise) - 2009. The Macquarie Point Stadium will be hard against residential areas which require the legally accepted local area acoustic standards. It will be just 100 metres from the Federation Concert Hall, and the University Art School, 150 metres from the Grand Chancellor and 400 metres from the Royal Hobart Hospital. The stadium construction will not shield noise as the roof will be a large, light weight skin which is not likely to attenuate the noise, especially bass frequencies from music concerts which will radiate in all directions. High noise levels will not be just an occasional problem as the stadium will be required to have regular events to pay for itself. These will inevitably include loud music concerts, some with low frequency drumming beats that carry long distances, one day and night cricket games with the associated loud pa systems (that have been disruptive to Bellerive residents adjacent the Blundstone Arena), as well as the day and night football games. For those who say that similarly located grounds such as the MCG do not cause noise problems, it should be noted that the MCG, is more than 1000 metres from the eastern corner of the Melbourne CBD, and is not close to sensitive buildings. |
| 141. | 10 August 2023 | Sally Rackham | The stadium will completely dwarf the heritage areas around the docks. The traffic generated will increase congestion in the city and surrounding areas, with little chance of viable alternatives providing access to the stadium. It is completely inappropriate for a sporting code to determine the use of prime land in the city centre. It will further degrade the links to the aboriginal heritage of the area. There is no space to add additional housing (funded by Commonwealth) if the stadium is built. Altogether it should be abandoned. Matches could be held in Bellerive or Launceston |
| 142. | 10 August 2023 | Vicki Daly | The stadium is an entirely inappropriate development for Macquarie Point. It would be dominating and very ugly. Also Tasmanians have a much more pressing need for housing, education and health |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | issues to be addressed as a priority. Please do not put future generations into debt for a stadium that the majority of tasmanians do not want or need. |
| 143. | 10 August 2023 | Margie Headlam | My desire is that the Foreshore and the area is public space and available at all times. The stadium is not conducive to public assembly. Please do not over build this area. We are losing too much green space. It is the wrong place for a large gigantic stadium. |
| 144. | 10 August 2023 | Stephen Hannan | The artists impression surely cannot be close to what is planned for Macquarie Point? Would Sydney have been better putting a stadium where the Opera is located? Hobart's prime location in what must be a heritage listed area and the best the custodians can do is a sports stadium. I defend Hobart's as a whole when it has been said to me what do you expect it's Tasmania. The fun is over get serious. Thank you, Stephen |
| 145. | 11 August 2023 | Helen Preston | I am horrified by the proposal for a new stadium on Macquarie point, Hobart. I would support the comments above however strongly support a whole new approach such as the one promoted by Richard Flanagan and other influential principled people. Helen Preston |
| 146. | 11-August 2023 | Marta Brysha | Hobart is a beautiful city. There are very few heritage cities like it left in the world. We already have a perfectly adequate stadium at Bellerive and another in Launceston. No one wants this project, not even footy fans. Just stop it. Fix the hospitals, regional health care and education. |
| 147. | 11 August 2023 | Kerry Anne Johnstone | I have put in a submission already but I would like to add another idea. There has been no mention of activities for children. A water park would be a good thing to include. Children love playing in water so I envisage a tiled area with sprinklers. The water could be recirculated. Any other ideas for children's entertainment would be good. Weekend performances, punch and Judy, puppetry etc. I would like to see innovative |
| 148. | 11 August 2023 | Michael Ooyendyk | The governments moral obligation is to its people and this is not being met by this proposed venture, what a waste of money on a freight stadium, last football match at Bellerive only had 8,000 pax turn up. Not very good numbers for a stadium proposal. |
| 149. | 11 August 2023 | Lindsay Brinsdon | A very ugly, sprawling structure overpowering the backdrop to the lovely heritage buildings that are such a significant feature of the waterfront. The stadium proposal panders to the AFL. And the stadium is rejected by many Tasmanians. It will be a heavy financial impost on the state. Lindsay Brinsdon |
| 150. | 11 August 2023 | Jennie Churchill | Macquarie Point is one of the last important pieces of public land in Hobart. It deserves considered treatment based on good design that would deliver benefits to ALL the community. The original master plan was years (and countless dollars) in the making. It remains the plan of choice for such an important piece of land if Hobart is to remain a beautiful, intimate city that attracts all tourists, not just sporting fans - many of whom, as the government well knows, love their AFL but do not want this stadium. |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|--------------------|---|
| 151. | 11 August 2023 | Helen Gould | I am a Hobart resident. I do not want see a stadium on the Macquarie Point site. A stadium would be totally out of character for this site. I am not against the idea of having a stadium, though we already do have plenty to accommodate our small population plus any mainland visitors. I do feel also that we are being ██████ by the AFL in this matter but I agree we should have an AFL team. A more suitable location for a stadium would be the Wilkinson's Point area or anywhere in that vicinity. Why destroy a potentially beautiful location with a football stadium when it could be a space to be shared by everyone? |
| 152. | 11 August 2023 | Bernadette O'Brien | The stadium is clearly non-compliant with the Sullivan's Cove Planning Scheme which emphasises the importance of protecting views to & from the Cenotaph & it's reverential ambiance overlooking the wharf & river's mouth where 1st contingent of troops sailed out to WW1. All these views will be severely impacted by the enormity of the adjacent stadium. At 40m tall it will dwarf the Henry Jones Art Hotel & historic buildings in Sullivan's Cove, Tourism Tasmania's iconic marketing promotion images. The height & bulk of the stadium contravene the principles in the planning scheme for new development adjacent to sites of cultural significance o be significantly smaller than their culturally significant neighbour & to avoid being individually prominent in the immediate historic urban landscape. |
| 153. | 11 August 2023 | Anne Close | 1. Tasmanian population is too small to afford or maintain this stadium. 2. The current site at Macquarie Point is totally inappropriate for such a huge building. 3. Crowd traffic and parking would create massive congestion with visitors trying to get to the proposed site. 4. IF a stadium is found to be necessary in the future, it should be built on a green fields site away from central Hobart. 5. Consideration should be given to light rail transport from Hobart airport, and from northern Tasmania to a better site than the current proposed site. |
| 154. | 11 August 2023 | Jennifer Furst | What about traffic flow with all the cars people will use to get to and from the stadium? What about parking and all the space standing empty when not in use? When will the proposed stadium ever be full to capacity? Has the price of tickets been costed? What would a family 4 have to pay to attend a match, for example? In the current cash-strapped climate, how many people will even be able to afford to go there? The number of jobs the building process would create is such a short-term view. After it is finished, what will those people do? What will people who work there when an event is on do the rest of the time? They can only be part-time casuals. How often is the Blundstone sport ground in Bellerive full? How much did it cost, and has that cost been paid off yet? |
| 155. | 12 August 2023 | Ngaire Hobbins | I am not against a Tasmanian team per se, but that occurrence must not be to the detriment of the beautiful waterfront area in Hobart. A construction of these dimensions is complexly inappropriate at Macquarie Point. |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| 156. | 12 August 2023 | Barbara Elliot | Hobart's waterfront is a finite resource and should not be rubbished with this monstrosity that looks like a giant war bunker. Also, the money is needed elsewhere for affordable housing, health care and education. |
| 157. | 12 August 2023 | Debra Dragonheart | |
| 158. | 12 August 2023 | Chris Clark | The scale of this proposal is out of keeping with all surrounding structures. It will dominate the Cenotaph and cast shadow over the areas to the south. For most of the time, it will be unused. The money could be far better spent elsewhere. |
| 159. | 12 August 2023 | Alison Smith | The proposed size of the stadium will dominate the wharf area and will look ghastly. Please do not build it. |
| 160. | 12 August 2023 | Monica | |
| 161. | 12 August 2023 | Christine Allandale | This area has historical significance and is also the most beautiful place in Hobart. It would be a matter of great regret to have a stadium looming over it. It would be much more suited to an area past the bridge where there is more room for parking and for crowds to move about easily. |
| 162. | 12 August 2023 | Grahame Kershaw | I also have not heard how public transport or car parking could possibly work in this area if a stadium were to go ahead. The business case alone does not stack up let alone the visual impact on the wharf area. |
| 163. | 12 August 2023 | Leone Hendry | |
| 164. | 12 August 2023 | Margaret Kelly | |
| 165. | 12 August 2023 | Jan Greig | The need for a practice stadium to accompany the proposed stadium is further unnecessary expense for the Tasmanian taxpayers. The aesthetic loss to this precinct would be considerable. 31m walls would overshadow the heritage buildings and detract from the waterfront views. Increased traffic to and from the stadium would add to the problems with traffic flow at this major intersection. |
| 166. | 12 August 2023 | Marketa Skala | |
| 167. | 12 August 2023 | Jeanette | Put the money into social housing mit this ridiculous stadium. |
| 168. | 12 August 2023 | Pamela Walker | There are also much more appropriate alternative sites that make much more sense. For instance on the site of the Derwent Entertainment Centre where parking and traffic congestion problems would be easily overcome. Refurbish and repurpose already existing stadium in Launceston at half the cost. The Macquarie Point site belongs to the public not a very small minority of AFL supporters. Develop as a public space as the public will largely be paying for it and it is already owned by the public! Regards Pamela Walker |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|-------------------|---|
| 169. | 12 August 2023 | Dr Anita Hansen | The decision was made unilaterally without consultation with the public - the people who live here every day. This area, so close to the city centre, should become a place that all the people of Hobart/Tasmania/tourists can use ALL the time. An area so close to the centre of Hobart should not be dominated by a feature that can only be used by a small group a very few times a year. There is no transport infrastructure to accommodate the number of people trying to get to the stadium for events. The stadium does not suit the architecture of the surrounding area. The project is too expensive - so many other, more needed, projects would have to be cancelled to build the stadium. The recent cancellation of the Commonwealth Games in Victoria show that cost overruns was a major consideration. |
| 170. | 12 August 2023 | Julia Clark | |
| 171. | 12 August 2023 | Karen Finlayson | |
| 172. | 12 August 2023 | Jack Koop | Not only will it be a blight on the land Scape , but also a tremendous gamble with tax payers money. |
| 173. | 12 August 2023 | Chris Costelloe | |
| 174. | 12 August 2023 | Louisa Toose | |
| 175. | 12 August 2023 | Gabby Hills | As the daughter of a Rat of Tobruk, I think the proposed stadium would be too close and would dominate Hobart's beautifully simple cenotaph which commemorates Tasmania's war dead. |
| 176. | 12 August 2023 | Stuart Pengelly | The proposed stadium at Macquarie Point should NOT go ahead because it: - would significantly diminish the character of the foreshore area and its heritage - would diminish the city-wide landscape because of its bulk, position and inability to blend in with the existing land uses - requires the destruction of an existing building with heritage significance - would be a lost opportunity for other more appropriate developments that would assist societal issues (eg. Aboriginal recognition; public housing; provision of open space; climate change research with an Antarctic hub) - represents an inappropriate expenditure of community funds on a low-priority issue (ie. sport) when health, education, housing and the environment are more important. |
| 177. | 12 August 2023 | Margaret Petrovic | |
| 178. | 12 August 2023 | Shannon Terry | |
| 179. | 12 August 2023 | Simon Currant | |
| 180. | 12 August 2023 | Liz Anderson | This building should not go ahead, not only is it ugly, but there is a dire crisis with housing and the amount of money being spent on this infrastructure should be diverted to solving the housing crisis. |
| 181. | 12 August 2023 | Jayne Cowley | This is an inappropriate development for the area. Please return to the earlier plan. |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|----------------|--------------------|--|
| 182. | 12 August 2023 | Peter Hicks | This project does not stand up to the slightest scrutiny as far as government's spending when there are such massive priorities of health, housing and education. |
| 183. | 12 August 2023 | Faridah Cameron | |
| 184. | 12 August 2023 | Carolyn Stephenson | |
| 185. | 12 August 2023 | Melinda Bergfield | |
| 186. | 12 August 2023 | Mark Howard | Could we please have evidence based policy decisions, instead of 'castles in the air'. This stadium as proposed, makes a mockery of Hobart's comitment to heritage values. Such heritage values are a clear money-earner. Why would any tourists want to come to Hobart with such a monstrosity? It surely will be an underutilised costly mistake; the money should be used for Tasmania's needs in social housing, eductaion and hospitals. |
| 187. | 12 August 2023 | Diane Smith | Much of the time the stadium would not be in use, because it is either not suitable or too expensive for other uses. The existing spaces need to be used by citizens of the city for recreational and other uses. Such use would be severely curtailed by the stadium. The bulk of the stadium is ugly and would detract from the pleasant view of Hobart sitting comfortably, sited near the water. |
| 188. | 12 August 2023 | Luke Agati | |
| 189. | 12 August 2023 | Leslie Lauder AM | By building the stadium Hobart will lose the opportunity to extend the inner city into Macquarie Point. Sullivan Cove already is a major asset to Hobart earning \$millions every year from visitors. It is absurd to throw this opportunity away to enter into a highly dubious business arrangement which will be extremely expensive and will burden Tasmania taxpayers for decades |
| 190. | 12 August 2023 | Michael Skitt | for goodness sake! Listen to the people, put the stadium elsewhere , stop trying to spoil the city skyline... just build something there more appropriate and stop wasting tax payers money! |
| 191. | 12 August 2023 | Michael Fortescue | Existing sports infrastructure can be upgraded for the AFL purpose, eg KGV and Glenorchy pool, reopen the railway and build a new aquatic centre in the northern suburbs. |
| 192. | 12 August 2023 | Gill Martin | |
| 193. | 12 August 2023 | Helen Marshall | |
| 194. | 12 August 2023 | Helen Dunford | Requiring a stadium for a team is one thing but the AFL should not be permitted to dictate to Hobart the location of this stadium. In a recent comment in the Mercury (17 June 2023) the AFL chief Andrew Dillon stated that the stadium must be at Macquarie Point, even if this was later determined to be an unsuitable location. The AFL is not an urban planner and comments such as this show that it has no concern for the future of Hobart, its long-term spatial plans/vision or its residents and people who work and visit here. Any development of this nature must be carefully considered within the broader context of the city. This proposal does not do this. The proposal will see a structure built that is not suitable for the location and is totally out of |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | character with the rest of the city. Combined with financial and economic case against the stadium, the costs far outweigh the benefits. |
| 195. | 12 August 2023 | Rob Cartledge | |
| 196. | 12 August 2023 | Django | |
| 197. | 12 August 2023 | Rachel Tenni | |
| 198. | 12 August 2023 | Angela McGowan | I am not against an AFL team. But the cost to Tasmania of construction and the physical impact of this building on the cityscape of Hobart is unacceptably high. |
| 199. | 12 August 2023 | Jean Elder | There are so many other urgent needs for funding in Tasmania - eg hospital staffing, education, housing for the homeless. It is unconscionable that Tasmania would be left with this huge debt for funding an unviable stadium. We need to stand up to the AFL bullies! |
| 200. | 12 August 2023 | Carlene Nilsen | |
| 201. | 12 August 2023 | Josephine R Lloyd-Odgers | Even the existing housing plan is for affordable housing, and not social housing; therefore, those that need it most, will miss out again. The stadium is not needed or wanted by the majority. |
| 202. | 12 August 2023 | Alison Lazaroff-Somssich | Please No to this proposal. |
| 203. | 12 August 2023 | Zoe Schefe | As a nurse in the THS, I feel there are many more important places to use our states resources to benefit the people of Tasmania both now and future generations. We could invest in the health and wellbeing of Tasmanians by investing in primary health. |
| 204. | 12 August 2023 | Susan Friend | The proposal to place a stadium in this location at this time or ever is ridiculous and it should be abandoned immediately. Yours faithfully |
| 205. | 12 August 2023 | Neilson Chris | Listen to the People!!!! |
| 206. | 12 August 2023 | Jane Richardson | |
| 207. | 12 August 2023 | Denise Montgomery | |
| 208. | 12 August 2023 | Bronwyn Clarke | |
| 209. | 12 August 2023 | Ray Terry | Research has shown that while stadiums can contribute to local economic activity, the overall economic benefits are often overstated, and public funding for such projects might not yield the expected returns. |
| 210. | 12 August 2023 | Kristen Gooch | Please find another suitable site for the stadium then everyone will be happy. It's as easy as that. Kind regards Kristen Gooch |
| 211. | 12 August 2023 | Marion Jones | This stadium is a god awful idea and a mockery of what people are going through financially. Do we want a state team yes but it shouldn't come at the cost of ██████████ an entire State and future generations of said State. It is a disgrace. Our infrastructure isn't set up for something like this and the land could be used in ways that can properly support the community. |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|----------------|------------------|---|
| 212. | 13 August 2023 | Diana Perry | This proposed structure gives no consideration to the nearby Cenotaph which must be kept as the main memorial for those Australians who fought and died in war. Respect has been thrown out and money brought in. The noise and sound of traffic will be unbearable for those patients in the Royal Hobart Hospital nearby as well as tourists staying in hotels in close vicinity. Use the Domain for the stadium or use York Park in Launceston. |
| 213. | 13 August 2023 | Cressida Challis | |
| 214. | 13 August 2023 | Erik Hayward | As Rome collapses, it scrambled to continue building more ludicrous and lavish pointless expensive and wasteful infrastructure that will never be used to its full capacity or potential. Make nipaluna / Hobart a culture hub not a flawed eyesore. |
| 215. | 13 August 2023 | Mark Tarleton | I object to the proposed stadium at Macquarie Point for a number of reasons. For a start, we already have a perfectly functional stadium at Bellerive. There may be transport issues associated with it but they can be addressed at a fraction of the cost of a new stadium. At a time when the state is experiencing other serious issues, such as homelessness and a dire health system, issues on which the money could be much better spent, it is unbelievable that the government could think that something as frivolous as a stadium would be more deserving. The cost of the stadium is half the "cost blowout" of the Marinus Link, which puts things in perspective, since that project would be of much greater value to the state. Hobart can be a beautiful, charming city. The views from the Domain and dock areas, taking in the sea and the mountain, can be stunning, but this proposed monstrosity, a "gigantic bedpan" as someone accurately described it, would totally dominate the area, draining it of any charm. If we're going to have a new stadium, put it anywhere but there; An industrial site on the outskirts of habitation would be ideal, there it would have no transport or aesthetic issues. How about putting it up in the north of the state, where businesses are forever complaining about the concentration of tourist attractions in the south. The government and the AFL are the only bodies who say (but may not actually believe) that the stadium makes financial sense. The consensus seems to be that it would be a costly indulgence. There has been enough obfuscation, dishonesty and evasion of due process surrounding this proposal to convince me that the government believes that if the truth were known the stadium would not have a social licence. Not that it does now - I haven't met a single person who doesn't think it is a ridiculous idea. The secrecy in itself is convincing enough that the proposal is not in the best interests of the public. Enough said, I think. Please reject the proposed stadium. |
| 216. | 13 August 2023 | Dorothy Lincoln | The scale of the wall of concrete would dwarf the existing heritage buildings and make Hobart less attractive to tourists. We would be just another cluttered city with a odd assortment of the latest modern fad in architecture. The University buildings are an example of how to make a city ugly. They dwarf the buildings around them and dominate making an uneasy presence. The Opera House in |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | Sydney was dwarfed by the 'toaster' building and so now looks like a poor cousin because of the disproportionate modern building places too close to it. Although there is an expectation that it would attract big music performers, our proximity to Melbourne and the cost of bringing a show across Bass Strait would not make it viable. It would be an exception rather than the norm for a performer to do both and so a stadium would not attract big performers. As mortgage stress hits, there is no guarantee that people would be able to afford multiple games. |
| 217. | 13 August 2023 | Valerie Matsumoto | Dan Andrews showed courage recently in rejecting the Commonwealth games in favour of meeting the needs of citizens and recognising that this will inevitably, promote growth and stability. |
| 218. | 13 August 2023 | Elizabeth Jackson | The cost is huge while major community needs like health, housing and education would all benefit from extra funding. The stadium does not seem warranted with Bellerive Oval apparently able to be used instead. A park of gracious trees and walkway seems much cheaper and more desirable for a community |
| 219. | 13 August 2023 | Michelle Balcombe | |
| 220. | 13 August 2023 | Kenneth Johnson | There is absolutely no community licence for this proposed eyesore. |
| 221. | 13 August 2023 | Grant Ennew | I am right behind you Guyz stand tall stand strong ... we only win with numbers and the grace of God 🙏🙏🙏 on our side ... Even so you can see now why Labor owns the main land coz the coalition party lieberals,wouldn't listen to us the people who actually own government they work for us not the other way around and this they should realize and remember we vote them in we can vote them out ... No wasted monies on stadium 🏠🏠🏠 ... Stay as original as possible... |
| 222. | 13 August 2023 | Edward James Wurf | The bulk of a stadium on the site is absolutely inappropriate. The closing off of open space within city precincts is not a sensible use of tax payer funds. With much concern being raised about sea level rises possibly occurring at greater rates than current estimates is spending so much on a site to have a 'marooned asset' sensible? |
| 223. | 13 August 2023 | Christopher Richards | |
| 224. | 13 August 2023 | Ellie Griffin | Listen to the people of Tasmania - this is NOT an episode of Utopia! You cannot be so poor a government that you fall right into the same trap as the TV SHOW DESIGNED TO MAKE FUN OF YOU. If this goes ahead you'll ruin the hobart water front more than the cruise ships already do. If this goes ahead you ruin the lives of those children in schools without enough money for support workers. You'll ruin the lives of those in our hospital who cannot receive the adequate care they need. And you'll make an enemy of all those in Tasmania who you blatantly and pigheadedly ignored |
| 225. | 13 August 2023 | Jeff Michel | I work in the education sector and I'm appalled at the waste of this government. They've been spending millions trying to dismantle the college system under the guise of helping regional students, who really deserve better services. But their solutions are just to build things. We cannot |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | only build our way into prosperity. I'm happy to have an AFL team, but not at the cost of being held to ██████ by the AFL to build a stadium that far exceeds our needs. Putting this behemoth at Mac Point risks destroying the very qualities that make Hobart a livable city and destination for travel. Our waterfront is picturesque because of how it is now, making it look like the Dockland will not improve it. There is infrastructure existing at Aurora Stadium in the North for this type of project. Why not put it there. If the government's logic is that this is a Stadium and team for all Tasmanians, then sure the South will be just as likely to travel North to see a game rather than the other way around. And we would not need to destroy the surrounding buildings for the parking. |
| 226. | 13 August 2023 | Helen Johnston | Hobart's waterfront is an iconic representation of our city's deep-rooted heritage, a convergence of art, culture, and charm. Building a stadium in this central and very picturesque area threatens to alter the very essence of what makes Hobart unique. Tourists flock to the city not for massive infrastructures, but to immerse themselves in this culture. It would be a shame to dilute this. Building the stadium in a less economically prosperous area of Hobart or Tasmania could provide multiple benefits and stimulate economic growth in areas that need it the most. |
| 227. | 13 August 2023 | Susan | There is so much potential for the Macquarie Point area and development that complimented the historical nature of the area rather than dominate it would be economically and environmentally preferable. |
| 228. | 13 August 2023 | Rod Griffin | we have a perfectly good stadium at Bellrive which could be upgrade for a lot let \$. Why take this core Hobart sight to please the AFL???? |
| 229. | 13 August 2023 | Kerry Anne Johnstone | If the stadium is built at Macquarie Point it will be in my "front yard" and will have a negative effect on my amenity with it's bulk, noise and traffic. This deal has made our Premier look weak and hoodwinked by this sporting juggernaut. There is something ugly about Aussie Rules these days and I do not like the direction it has taken. If there is money for sport, a world class athletic track and field facility would be of more benefit to Tasmanians. Hobart could host international competitions and offer a training venue for athletes prior to the Olympic Games. |
| 230. | 13 August 2023 | Bree Sanders | |
| 231. | 13 August 2023 | Lyn Quayle | |
| 232. | 13 August 2023 | Julia Bestwick | I believe the rationale behind building the stadium is to bring in tourists to matches and events, to fill the hotels, patronise restaurants, attend markets, with the stadium within walking distance. I don't believe the interests of the people who live in Hobart are being considered at all. We love our city and we don't want it full of tourists, who will deter us from enjoying it ourselves. We don't want the ambience of our city destroyed. The roof is required primarily for events. Most entertainers who bring in big crowds will continue to mostly perform in Sydney and Melbourne. We had the DEC for events. What happened there? We have no light rail or ferry network to bring local patrons to sporting or |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | other events. So locals who do want to attend games and events will be further clogging already clogged roads, and presumably have to park vehicles somewhere. Tourists coming on cruise ships are wanting to see our unique city with its lovely sandstone buildings, our scenic setting. As cruise ships approach, the dominant edifice would not be the environs of Sullivans Cove, but a 10-storey toilet seat. If we must have a stadium, put it somewhere else (in a disused quarry e.g.) and run free buses from various locations. A significant proportion of Tasmanians have no interest in attending football games or events, but we will all bear the costs, probably for generations to come. It's been a shady deal too, with politicians lying through their teeth, and kowtowing to the ██████████ ██████████ of the AFL, quite likely with financial incentives. It's all very well to label people who oppose developments like this as the 'anti-everything brigade' but the reason for opposition is that we are saddled just about non-stop with unsuitable proposals for our city, our mountain, our scenic jewels, our old-growth forests, and our rights to protest are being eroded along with any pretensions of democracy, by avaricious ██████████ politicians who are supposed to represent us. |
| 233. | 13 August 2023 | David Ackland | |
| 234. | 13 August 2023 | Bronwyn Fielder | |
| 235. | 13 August 2023 | Pascal Beechey | |
| 236. | 13 August 2023 | Guy Deakin | I support the concept of a Tasmanian AFL team, but money should only be spent on upgrading the existing stadiums in Bellerive and Launceston. Taxpayer funds should be spent on the health, housing and education needs of Tasmanians. |
| 237. | 13 August 2023 | Therese Gilfedder | A stadium can be built further up river or away from this beautiful waterfront. |
| 238. | 13 August 2023 | Darren Riley | |
| 239. | 13 August 2023 | Edward Sykes | This stadium will destroy the ambience of the docks - the heart of Hobart, and is a total waste of much needed tax payer funds. With so many homeless families increasing in number by the week, health services, teacher shortages..... there are so many more pressing needs for this state. |
| 240. | 13 August 2023 | Peter Quayle | |
| 241. | 13 August 2023 | Tessa McDonald | I'm worried about this drain on Tasmania's economy - and I'd love the same money spent on healthcare, housing and education, with benefits for the most at risk members of our community. |
| 242. | 13 August 2023 | Samuel Pottenger | |
| 243. | 13 August 2023 | Felicity Rose | |
| 244. | 13 August 2023 | Sue Kadlecek | |
| 245. | 13 August 2023 | Andrew MacFie | Please if you must have a Stadium, don't build it at Macquarie Point. It will ruin a very historic and important part of Hobart Town and ruin the area besides the Cenotaph. We must do better than this. Protect our waterfront and early areas. |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| 246. | 13 August 2023 | John Sexton | Hobart is a unique city in Australia. Its harbour is a key factor. This stadium in this place will destroy that uniqueness. And it will cost us all a huge amount of money over the years, to the detriment of needed infrastructure, health care and housing. |
| 247. | 13 August 2023 | Yabbo Thompson | The original concept for the area needs to be followed through, a Science and Antarctic precinct and a Truth and Reconciliation Park. Why was this stopped? The Stadium is not required, as we never fill Blundstone Arena and it wouldn't be economically sound. If it was to be built, it would need to be more easily reached from the north. Macquarie Point is not the place for this project where there would be blow-out costs and probably much of the labour force would come from other parts of Australia. |
| 248. | 13 August 2023 | Peter Verbiest | This stadium would make a negative and disastrous impact on Hobart environment. The cost would, like most new buildings, exceed the estimate and be detrimental to Hobart and Tasmanian citizens and thus be a tax burden. Hobart does NOT need such a stadium, most Hobart citizens do NOT approve of this stadium. |
| 249. | 13 August 2023 | Patti Virtue | Please don't do this to our beautiful city. What we gain in attracting sports people we will lose in many other unique opportunities this city holds. |
| 250. | 13 August 2023 | Geraldine Lum | I honestly feel that building a stadium on such a site is foolhardy and one that Tasmania will regret. This will not be a building that Tasmania will be celebrating in 50 years like the Sydney Opera House is currently. This will be a circular eyesore projecting behind the beauty of the historic buildings on Hunter St. If we are to go into debt, lets not do it for this. Lets make it a people's precinct that highlights our heritage, both indigenous and colonial. Sports stadiums can be built anywhere, they don;t need to be on prime real estate. The patrons will come for the sporting event and won't care if it is in Glenorchy, Bellerive or Kingston. Leave our city, which suffers from a scarcity of land, for better uses than sport please. |
| 251. | 13 August 2023 | Kate McDonald | I am very happy to support a Tasmanian AFL team but believe upgrading the existing Bellerive and Launceston stadiums for substantially less money is a better solution. Health, housing and education should be priorities for taxpayer funds. |
| 252. | 13 August 2023 | Jillian Johnson | |
| 253. | 13 August 2023 | Kellie Andrews | |
| 254. | 13 August 2023 | Lee Ritchie | |
| 255. | 13 August 2023 | Angela Bryan | Please return to your earlier plans for this unique and sensitive area. The AFL is acting like a [REDACTED] pressurising Tasmanians to accept an unfair deal. Please redirect any available funds into education, health, infrastructure and housing instead. |
| 256. | 13 August 2023 | Donna Ritchie | |

MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

| | | | |
|------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| 257. | 13 August 2023 | Adrienne Eberhard | We already have a stadium where AFL games are played. Why not upgrade this instead of creating a new one that will be an eyesore on the waterfront. Save our historic waterfront and make it a place for people and for nature. Extend the Botanic Gardens into Macquarie Point and stick to the original plan that supports nature, our Aboriginal, Antarctic and cultural histories, and provides locals and visitors with a unique waterfront experience, preserving the wonderful water views at the same time. I find it difficult to believe that the government could be contemplating spending that much money on an enormous, ugly structure for sport, in such a prime, historic and cultural spot, when it should be spending money on housing, the environment, nature and preservation. Please do not allow the stadium to go ahead! Adrienne Eberhard |
| 258. | 13 August 2023 | Lorraine Mars | Hobart has so many other urgent priorities for Government funding, including low cost housing to accommodate homeless people, upgrading of the health system, upgrading of the wharf facilities to ensure our economic future, to name a few. The proposal to build a stadium of this size would not guarantee any economic gain outside of the proposed football matches. The stadium would still not have a sufficient capacity to attract big artists or other sporting events. |
| 259. | 13 August 2023 | Brad Potts | This development is not appropriate for this location. Further it is obvious that parking and transport required will have a highly disruptive and extended effects on this critically important historic precinct of Hobart. |
| 260. | 13 August 2023 | Georgina Hall | Tasmania has existing stadiums in the North & South of the state. Macquarie point is not an appropriate location for a stadium, it is not needed or wanted. The sporting facilities built for olympic games around the world have time & time again fallen into disuse after the event. We do not want to repeat their mistakes in our city. We have a housing crisis with mortgages and rental properties becoming increasingly unaffordable. The City of Hobart & State Government should be focussing on directing their efforts towards developments that rectify the housing shortfall not unnecessary sporting facilities. |
| 261. | 13 August 2023 | Lynn | No stadium : Wrong place Overdevelopment, Macquarie point should provide 'space' and harmony for Hobart not an ugly steel concrete mass Stadiums are rarely architecturally beautiful and this site and Hobart deserves better Too big and dominating for such a picturesque, rare and important location Only one road in and out - chaos with a stadium Traffic chaos when building a stadium and when events on. Whole of Hobart will be gridlocked. Buses ha ha ha Why put a building that requires no views on land with some of the best views left in Hobart Contract for stadium will be a big cost impost for Tasmania. Not enough money, local builders, corners will need to be cut Overshadowing the heritage building ha and waterfront damages what makes Hobart special and why people come to visit Totally changes the look and feel of Hobart as a city and not for the best. Do Imaging and ugly Upgrade TCA at least more roads in and out Need for training ground as well, taking another public |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | space such as cornelian bay is [REDACTED] Knocking down a heritage building, re routing sewerage pipes at great expense - not worth it Macquarie point needs to be for everyone not just people who can afford tickets to events. It should be open and engaging not closed off and inward as it will be with a stadium. Would like to see space, homage to the location, trees and greenery, art, sculpture, culture, story telling, a reconciliation park, a gateway to Antarctica, places for young, teens and old. No housing as Hobartians won't be able to afford it. Get our architects and artists to be creative, have buildings that are magnificent. Something people from around the world will come to see. Something Tasmania can be proud of. |
| 262. | 13 August 2023 | Trudi Disney | I believe the original plans were balanced and appropriate. I work at the RHH and have only spoken to a couple of people who support the stadium and hundreds who do not. Only a proportion of the population watch AFL. We do not fill our current stadiums, and they are adequate. In addition - in a climate crisis why are we encouraging people to fly interstate for one day events / one night stays. This sort of tourism benefits just a few businesses in Hobart, and does little for the state as a whole. |
| 263. | 13 August 2023 | Helen Semler | |
| 264. | 13 August 2023 | Ross Coward | This site needs to be recognised and acknowledged as an important place where the view lines need to be maintained as important visual references to place. It is an important site as the escarpment marks the original shoreline of the Derwent. It surely, also, must have been an important sacred place for the first nations people and therefore paramount that no inappropriate development such as the stadium is built on this ground. The Cenotaph and surrounding space is sacred ground for those that served in the armed forces and to have a Stadium built in such close proximity is highly inappropriate. This site demands utmost respect. The stadium should never be built on that site. |
| 265. | 13 August 2023 | Philip Murrell | The most stupid idea I've ever seen is this [REDACTED] obscene monstrosity. Such excess and waste when our health system and infrastructure needs so much attention. Incredible that this thought bubble of Peter Gutwin even got to this point ! |
| 266. | 13 August 2023 | Heather Chaplin | I feel sick when I imagine the design of this inappropriately proportioned building ruining Hobart's current complementary and balanced historic, natural amenity. The size of this proposal is disgustingly overbearing. It has no charm. I find the proposal pompous and offensive. I am shocked and can't believe current Premier, Jeremy Rockliff, could think it is anything but a joke on Tasmania. If it goes ahead my respect for him will hit rock bottom. |
| 267. | 13 August 2023 | Geoffrey Bradshaw | I would like to see development at Macquarie point that: (1) genuinely and primarily benefits a large proportion of the Hobart and Tasmanian community; (2) does not benefit the very wealthy AFL Corporation and the harmful gambling/sporting complex of interests; (3) requires no large ongoing subsidies or costs to the community or government (beyond the kind of costs associated with maintaining parks or public housing); (4) and that is beautiful rather than ugly. |

**MACQUARIE POINT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PRECINCT PLAN SURVEY
TEMPLATE SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

| | | | |
|------|----------------|------------------|--|
| 268. | 13 August 2023 | Debbie Chung | Macquarie Point is a prime piece of real estate which requires much more sensitive and thoughtful planning to enhance it's waterfront position which can be viewed and appreciated. The siting of a stadium here, where the focus is inwards, towards the arena, completely ignores the beauty of it's surroundings. It doesn't make good sense at all! |
| 269. | 14 August 2023 | Georgi Radley | A dreadful location for the stadium The idea of the stadium benefits AFL only Certainly doesn't benefit Tasmania |
| 270. | 14 August 2023 | Austra Maddox | It is disgraceful that a Tasmanian premier would allow the State to be ██████████ in this manner. An AFL team is important to many Tasmanians, but the cost of this stadium and its proposed totally inappropriate location are way too high a price to pay. Lack of transparency, proper process, and community consultation, only highlight how shoddy the "deal" is! |
| 271. | 14 August 2023 | Jacinta Cantwell | |
| 272. | 14 August 2023 | Janet Hohnen | |
| 273. | 14 August 2023 | Louise d'Arville | Please put our money towards health, education and housing! It's a no brainer! |
| 274. | 14 August 2023 | Mike Hurburgh | The events that are proposed to be held in this space will be sporadic, often only planned a few months before and will not provide the continuous usage (and therefore income), necessary to support any adjacent enterprises. Thus a stadium built on the basis of a dozen or so signature events (football) will never provide enough income, for both the stadium itself and any "dependent enterprises", such as food providers. Also the size and solid bulk of the building contrasts unfavourably with the surrounding low-key area, mostly heritage. This is a totally inappropriate proposal, in the same league as the Hotel Chancellor, the Marine Board building and the former Hobart Saving bank. Additionally, part of this area was previously promised to provide space for the use of the indigenous community - has this been conveniently forforgotten? |
| 275. | 14 August 2023 | Don Snodgrass | |
| 276. | 14 August 2023 | Bela Somssich | Please do not destroy one of the most picturesque waterfronts in Australia! |
| 277. | 14 August 2023 | Heather Webster | I am a Hobart resident and strongly oppose the development of a stadium on the Hobart waterfront |
| 278. | 14 August 2023 | Chris Harrington | We do not have the population to make this project financially viable. Given all the costs involved the stadium would need to make millions every month of every year just to break even. |
| 279. | 14 August 2023 | Blane Fitzgerald | AFL cannot order that only 1 site is acceptable. The Launceston stadium does not require a monstrosity to hold a football game in weather more likely to foggy. |